**Amount spent on 'Grow More Food Campaign' from 1948-49 to 1950-51.**

*Q.—53. Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Tumkur District).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the amount of money spent Districtwar on 'Grow More Food Campaign' for the years 1948-49, 1949-50 and from January 1950 up-to-date in the State?

*A.*—**The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Home Minister).—

| DEPARTMENT. | 1948-49 | 1949-50 | 1950-51 |
|---|---|---|---|
| (1) Food Production Deptt. (Agri. Deptt). | 10,37,102 | 2,83,523-6-6 | 1,79,586 (from 1.4.50 to 30-6-50) |
| (2) Electrical Deptt. | 3,99,842 (July to June 12 months). | 5,03,020-0-0 | 2,68,894 |
| (3) Revenue Deptt. | 10,66,614 | 9,26,498 | 1,45,112 |
| (4) Public Works Deptt. | Nil under G.M.F. Scheme. | 81,22,556 (from 1.1.49 to 31.3.50 15 months). | 26,46,445 (from 1-4-49) to end of 30-6-50). |

Information Districtwar is not readily available.

---

**Mysore Government employees that were absorbed by Government of India after 1st April 1950.**

*Q.—98. Janab MOHAMED VALI-ULLA (Kolar—Muslim).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the total number of Mysore Government employees that were absorbed by the Government of India after 1st April 1950?

*A.*—**The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA** (Minister for Finance and Industries).—

About 10,743.

---

**Depletion of water in Krishnarajasagar Reservoir.**

*Q.—113. Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Tumkur District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to State :—

(*a*) the minimum quantity of water in the Krishnarajasagara that had to be kept in reserve ;

(*b*) the lowest level to which water in the Krishnarajasagara Reservoir had been reduced in the month of May 1950 ;

(*c*) the reason for the unusual depletion of water this year ;

(*d*) whether the land owners suffered as a consequence of depletion of water in the Reservoir ; if so, the amount of compensation paid to the raiyats ?

*A.*—**The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Home Minister).—

(*a*) There has been no minimum quantity fixed for being kept in reserve in the Krishnarajasagara Reservoir just as in all tanks and reservoirs.

(*b*) 14·46 ft. on 9th May 1950.

(*c*) the failure of both the south-west and north-east monsoons in the year 1949 and the inflow was the least.

(*d*) the scarcity of water has affected some sugarcane crops under Visvesvaraya Canal. This question is under examination.

---

**Illicit distillation of Arrack from Sugar Mollasses.**

*Q.—9. Sri M. MUNISWAMY (Kolar District—Depressed Class).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the reason for selling sugar molasses of the Mysore Sugar Company in the public market and public places ;

(*b*) whether they are aware that it is being used in the illicit distillation of arrack in this area ?

*A.*—**The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA** (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

(*a*) This is not being sold in the public market.

(*b*) No.

---

**Allotments for the construction of Primary School Buildings in the State during 1950-51.**

*Q.—15. Sri L. SIDDAPPA (Shimoga District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the amount allotted to each district for the construction of primary

(SRI L. SIDDAPPA.)

school buildings in the State during the current year;

(*b*) the number of primary school buildings which are in an incomplete stage in Shimoga District and the amount required to complete them?

*A.*—The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY (Chief Minister).—

| | DISTRICT. | Amount. Rs. |
|---|---|---|
| (a) 1. | Bangalore City and District | 80,000 |
| 2 | Kolar District | 80,000 |
| 3. | Chickmagalur District | 80,000 |
| 4. | Shimoga District | 50,000 |
| 5. | Hassan District | 50,000 |
| 6. | Mandya District | 1,00,000 |
| 7. | Chitaldrug District | 80,000 |
| 8. | Tumkur District | 50,000 |
| 9. | Mysore District | 12,0,000 |
| | Total | 5,90,000 |

(*b*) No. of schools 53.
Amount required Rs. 1,56,881.

---

## Request to furnish promptly Answers to Questions and Budget Estimates Copies in advance to Members.

SRI L. SIDDAPPA (Shimoga District—General).—Sir, before we proceed with the next item of business of the House, I am constrained to repeat my old complaint regarding the furnishing of answers to questions. With regard to the questions that I tabled for the Budget Session 1950, answers for two questions have not yet been furnished at all. I am told that to one more question replies are placed on the Table just now. With regard to the questions tabled for the August-September Session, there again for two questions, answers have not yet been furnished. I am told that one of the answers that were placed on the Table of the House today relates to that question. Answers to the other question are not furnished. You will please see from the nature of the questions that they do not require any appreciable time for the Government to collect answers. As an instance in point, I am referring to one of my questions tabled during the Budget Session of 1950. Question No. 58 Tabled by me for that session reads as follows:—

"Will the Government be pleased to state:—
(a) when the Anjanapur Regional Development Scheme was sanctioned;
(b) the total estimated cost of the scheme;
(c) if the scheme has since been revised; and if so, the total estimated cost according to the revised estimate;
(d) (i) the grant made and outlay incurred annually from the date of sanction of the scheme till the end of December 1949 under Public Works to be executed through the agency of the Public Works Department and those through the agency of the Revenue Department, (ii) the amount spent annually under Public Health and Education;
(e) whether the scheme contemplates employment of special staff for execution of works; if so, the staff sanctioned in the scheme and the staff employed so far and the date of employment of each staff;
(f) the authority appointed by Government for co-ordinating the activities of the several departments;
(g) the period during which the works specified in the scheme are scheduled to be completed;
(h) whether they are satisfied with the progress so far made in the scheme; if not, what steps do they intend taking for completing the works at an early date?"

This was my question for which answers are not yet furnished. I repeated this very same question for the September Session also. Even now answers have not been furnished to the question. I submit that on a previous occasion I brought this to the notice of the House. There was considerable discussion on the point. The Hon'ble Leader of the House and the Hon'ble Minister for Law were then pleased to hold out a promise that they would see that answers would be furnished hereafter without any more delay. Even after that promise, I am sorry to say that they have not properly instructed the administrative departments concerned with a view to seeing that answers are furnished promptly. I think, Sir, it is high time that Government take necessary action to see that these officers act promptly.

Rajamantrapravina H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA (Hassan District—General)—In this connection I would like to say that the questions for which replies are due are very ordinary and simple ones. I see that point also. There is another procedure which I would like to suggest. It is open to the Hon'ble Chair to get the office to